United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

December 17, 2012

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

No. 11-50976 Conference Calendar

D.C. Docket No. 5:11-CR-368-2-09

FILED

JAN 1 0 2013

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BY______

v.

WILLY MENDOZA,

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, San Antonio

Before DAVIS, OWEN, and HIGGINSON, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

It is ordered and adjudged that the appeal is dismissed as frivolous.

ISSUED AS MANDATE:

0 8 JAN 2013

A True Copy Attes

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

: A Clark

cputy

New Orleans, Louisiana 0 8 JAN 2013

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Con

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

December 17, 2012

No. 11-50976 Conference Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee

FILED

V.

WILLY MENDOZA,

JAN 1 0 2013 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICTICOU

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BY______

DEPUT

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 5:11-CR-368-2-

Before DAVIS, OWEN, and HIGGINSON, Circuit Judges. PER CURIAM:*

The attorney appointed to represent Willy Mendoza has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed briefs in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *United States v. Flores*, 632 F.3d 229 (5th Cir. 2011). Mendoza has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's briefs and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review. Accordingly, counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is

 $^{^*}$ Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 11-50976

excused from further responsibilities herein, and the APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R. 42.2.